

**REMARKS**

The specification has been amended to correct a typographical error.

Claims 1, 5, 13 and 14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by McConnel et al. Claims 2 - 4, 6 - 12 and 15 were objected to as being dependent upon rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 1 has now been amended to include all of the limitations of original claim 1 and allowable claim 2. Claim 3 has been amended to include all of the limitations of original claim 1 and original claim 3. Claim 5 has also been amended to include all of the limitations of original claim 1, original claim 5 and original claim 6. Claim 6 has been cancelled. Claim 9 has been amended to correct a typographical error. Claim 13 has been amended to include all of the limitations of original claim 13 and original claim 15. Claim 15 is now cancelled.

This Amendment should place this case in condition for passing to issue. Such action is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

YOUNG & BASILE, P.C.



Darlene P. Condra  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 37113  
(248) 649-3333

3001 West Big Beaver Rd., Suite 624  
Troy, Michigan 48084-3107

Dated: June 16, 2004  
DPC/dge